

**From:** [Levitt, Howard](#)  
**To:** [Frank Dean](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: New GMP  
**Date:** Monday, February 09, 2015 8:47:09 PM

---

off the rails

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Martha <(b)(6)>  
**Date:** Mon, Feb 9, 2015 at 5:35 PM  
**Subject:** Re: New GMP  
**To:** "Levitt, Howard" <[howard\\_levitt@nps.gov](mailto:howard_levitt@nps.gov)>  
**Cc:** Frank Dean <[frank\\_dean@nps.gov](mailto:frank_dean@nps.gov)>, "<[scott.wiener@sfgov.org](mailto:scott.wiener@sfgov.org)>"  
<[scott.wiener@sfgov.org](mailto:scott.wiener@sfgov.org)>

The ggnra and nps have violated a federal law called NEPA in the GMP which was signed on jan 30 2015, not this morning

Get your facts straight

The ggnra and nps do not take the public and local elected officials seriously; only the ggn parks conservancy

You are servants to big money interests

The intent of the establishing legislation for the ggnra is clear

It is an urban recreation area

That is the fundamental premise

Perhaps you have heard of this legislation and simply forgot about it

It is not to provide a national park experience

Thanks Martha

On Feb 9, 2015, at 5:13 PM, "Levitt, Howard" <[howard\\_levitt@nps.gov](mailto:howard_levitt@nps.gov)> wrote:

Martha, you have to understand that because we disagree with your fundamental premise and therefore don't act on it does NOT mean that we haven't taken your viewpoints and comments very seriously. Same for the Board of Supervisors.  
Howard

On Mon, Feb 9, 2015 at 4:01 PM, Martha Walters <(b)(6)> wrote:  
Again I would like to speak with frank directly.

It is clear that the ggnra/nps did not take CFDG's comments seriously nor the three counties board of supervisors positions opposing the gmp as written nor

other recreational user groups comments seriously.

Shame on all of you.

Martha

On Feb 9, 2015, at 3:53 PM, Levitt, Howard <[howard\\_levitt@nps.gov](mailto:howard_levitt@nps.gov)> wrote:

Hi Martha: Passed on your message to Frank. Re changes to the GMP, after thoroughly reviewing the letters and petitions received after the Final GMP was released, and holding meetings with you and Save Our Recreation among others, we saw no reason to alter the GMP. The Final GMP itself had addressed those concerns, and ROD that Chris signed also addresses the issues raised since the Final GMP was released, and includes a clarifying statement on relationship between the GMP and Dog Mgt Plan. That clarification repeats what we have told you for many months - the dog mgt plan final preferred alternative will take precedence over the GMP as it relates to where dogs can use the park, on or off leash. You can read the ROD in its entirety on our webpage.  
Howard

On Mon, Feb 9, 2015 at 1:04 PM, Martha (b)(6) >  
wrote:

I want to speak with Frank

Pls ask him if he made any changes to the GMP

Thanks Martha

> On Feb 9, 2015, at 12:58 PM, "Levitt, Howard"  
<[howard\\_levitt@nps.gov](mailto:howard_levitt@nps.gov)> wrote:

>

> Martha, Frank has a dozen things he's juggling at any given moment, and therefore his time is extremely limited. He is in constant contact with his staff on all park issues, and we'd rather get back to you in a timely manner than have you wait for an indeterminate period. That said, you can be assured that the message you get from me or from anyone else on Frank's Leadership Team reflects his viewpoint. Howard

--

Howard Levitt  
Director of Communications and Partnerships  
Golden Gate National Parks

| [howard\\_levitt@nps.gov](mailto:howard_levitt@nps.gov)  
415-561-4730

--

Howard Levitt  
Director of Communications and Partnerships  
Golden Gate National Parks  
[howard\\_levitt@nps.gov](mailto:howard_levitt@nps.gov)  
415-561-4730

--

Howard Levitt  
Director of Communications and Partnerships  
Golden Gate National Parks  
[howard\\_levitt@nps.gov](mailto:howard_levitt@nps.gov)  
415-561-4730