

From: (b)(6)
To: chris_lehnertz@nps.gov
Cc: (b)(6)
Subject: follow up with you
Date: Thursday, September 18, 2014 5:14:59 PM

hi chris,

how are you doing?

i am following up from our conference call we had with you in late july.

specifically, what is the status of the ggnra general mgt plan? you mentioned as a last thought in our call that you may be considering an alignment with the ggnra's gmp, dmp, and the rancho trail plan which would make a lot of sense.

i would also like to remind you of cfdg's letters regarding the outstanding NEPA issues for the gmp and dmp.

additionally, we were wondering if we could meet with you soon about these issues. by we, i mean, myself, bill bechtell, cindy machado from the marin humane society and possibility sf supervisor scott wiener. we represent the three county area in which ggnra lands reside in.

also, i have been working closely with the three congressional offices on a frequent basis (and for years) and i am sure that they would want better and more open communication about these outstanding recreation issues.

i understand that you are an extremely busy person, managing something like 28 parks which is very complex and time consuming.

also, i wanted to mention something was very disturbing and inaccurate on PBS over the past several evenings.

i have been watching ken burns' "the roosevelts" (just terrific) and at 7:57pm, three minutes before the program airs, there is an ad by the golden gate national parks conservancy which is just plain wrong. maybe you have seen this ad. the ad goes something like this:

overhead shot of the golden gate bridge, and then the image pans to the left onto what is the marin headlands with buildings superimposed (using cgi technology i believe)...and then the verbage "this almost happened, but WE created this national park" showing open space area of the marin headlands. then the image of their logo "golden gate national parks" appears with their website address.

in 1972, public law 92-589 was the legislation that created the ggnra, an urban recreation area, not a national park. congressman phil burton and dr. ed wayburn were very specific about making this an urban recreation area...as you are probably aware of, dr. wayburn went on to save over one million acres of wilderness areas in alaska. he understood the difference and intent of establishing the ggnra. and read the senate and house reports which provided the language for the creation of the ggnra and the language emphasizes "recreation", not a pristine, backwoods experience.

this ad should be pulled immediately and the parks conservancy be asked to never use the term "national park" in any form of outreach, literature, etc. frankly, these people are tiresome. they plow ahead unabridged and without accountability.

i look forward to your reply.

best regards,

martha walters