

GOGA TEAM DRAFT GMP – PART I						
NO.	INITIAL	SECT.	PAGE	LINE	COMMENT	RESPONSE/ NOTES
1.	DAH	GOLA BL	All		Change ‘offshore marine ...’ to ‘nearshore marine ...’ You could add a definition that states that in this context the ‘nearshore’ is intended to include the area that extends seaward from the low water line including the littoral zone and the area influenced by nearshore or longshore currents along the inner (shallow) continental shelf.	Brilliant!
2.	DAH	Bound ary Adjust ments	113	1-12	<p>Here is suggested text for the Bolinas Lagoon potential future boundary adjustment:</p> <p>Bolinas Lagoon is one of Marin County’s most significant natural resources. Its 1,100 acres, known as the Bolinas Lagoon Open Space Preserve and managed by the Marin County Open Space District, was designated a Wetland of International Importance by the Ramsar Convention in 1998. Along with Drake’s Estero and Tomales Bay, Bolinas Lagoon provides an important coastal environment for fish, birds and mammals that is unparalleled along the northern California coast between San Francisco and Humboldt Bays. Most of the eastern shoreline of the lagoon and portions of the adjacent uplands and small tributary creeks that support federally endangered steelhead trout are managed by Golden Gate National Recreation Area. The Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary also encompasses Bolinas Lagoon with overlapping management authority with Marin County and the NPS. Marin County, NOAA and the Army Corps of Engineers are currently developing plans for restoring natural processes and ecosystem integrity to the lagoon to mitigate for past human activity in the watershed.</p> <p>Here is a link to a map of the preserve. Craig Scott can also likely get the boundary map from the county if he doesn’t already have it.  <a href="http://www.co.marin.ca.us/pos/MCOSD/os_park_05.asp">http://www.co.marin.ca.us/pos/MCOSD/os_park_05.asp</a></p>	
3.	DAH	Summ ary	v	14-18	Only include major locations in the description of the planning area and ensure that each of them is identified by name on the accompanying map.	
4.	DAH	Summ ary	vi	23-30 and GLOB AL	The Evolved Cultural Landscape Zone also includes the natural resources within the zone and cultural landscapes (including numerous endangered species). The Zone description needs to describe how natural resources are considered and treated within the zone. This is actually a global comment that I have discussed with Craig Kenkel. Throughout the entire document there is no mention or discussion of natural resources and their treatment within the ECL zone and cultural landscapes themselves.	
5.	DAH	Summ ary	vii	1	Mention resource stewardship as an important way to engage visitors in the Natural Zone and to protect its resources.	
6.	DAH	Summ ary	vii	22	How would San Mateo and other new lands be managed under the No Action alternative?	
7.	DAH	Summ ary	vii	30	Add to alternative description: ... San Francisco ( <i>except Alcatraz Island</i> ), and San Mateo...	
8.	DAH	Summ ary	vii	33-38	The description of Alt 2 is weak without including the 1 <sup>st</sup> two sentences from the Rationale (p. 52) for the concept. Resilience to climate change and expanding NR stewardship are key aspects of Alt 2.	
9.	DAH	Summ ary	viii	41	Change ‘rehabilitation’ to ‘restoration’	
10.	DAH	Summ ary	ix	13	Describe what the ‘vision for Ocean Beach’ is	
11.	DAH	Introd uction	3	26	I doubt GGNRA is one of the largest coastal preserves in the nation when you consider Alaska, Olympic NP, Pt Reyes, etc. Also, the 75 miles of shoreline includes Tomales Bay (just an FYI since it’s not in the planning area and Bill Merkle commented on this)	
12.	DAH	Planni ng Area	6-7		Only include major locations in the description of the planning area and ensure that each of them is identified by name on the accompanying map.	
13.	DAH	Planni ng Area	6	36	Delete (Area A and Area B)	
14.	DAH	Planni ng Area	7	13-22	See Tamara and Bill’s comments regarding implementation status and additional planning efforts	
15.	DAH	Gener al Princi ples	12	4	Add ‘and watersheds’ after public lands	
16.	DAH	Guida nce for Planni ng	13	3	Parks are established not created	
17.	DAH	Found ation Statem ent	16, 20	Photos	Please get the dog out of Rodeo Lagoon. Scenic Views describes the coastal headlands of the Golden Gate but is a photo of Ocean Beach, nice photo but confusing with the text. Suggest a photo of the actual headlands in the Golden Gate strait	
18.	DAH	Specia l Mand ates	25	33-38	Not sure if there is a way to indicate that the name of the Biosphere Rerserve was changed to Golden Gate Biosphere Reserve (don’t know the year it changed). BTW it’s pretty non-functional except in name right now.	
19.	DAH	Planni ng Issues	30	1	This sentence is confusing since all of the mentioned activities are already allowed in some areas of the park. Think it needs re-wording to acknowledge expansion of existing activities, and potential new activities not yet invented (happens every year! Like kite-buggies on land...)	
20.	DAH	Planni ng Issues	30	26	The park is incorporated into the Biosphere Reserve (not contributes to)	
21.	DAH	Planni ng Issues	31	1-14	Great description of threats to ocean resources.	
22.	DAH	Planni ng Issues	31	24-25	Shouldn’t this also include cultural resource preservation and maintenance?	

GOGA TEAM DRAFT GMP – PART I						
NO.	INITIAL	SECT.	PAGE	LINE	COMMENT	RESPONSE/ NOTES
23.	DAH	Planni ng Issues	31	33	Need to acknowledge natural resources (including endangered species habitat) as an integral part of cultural landscapes. A very narrow definition of cultural landscapes seems to be used throughout the document.	
24.	DAH	Planni ng Issues	32	20	Climate change IS occurring already.	
25.	DAH	Planni ng Issues	34	30	Would it be possible to provide some kind of definition of what a partner is?	
26.	DAH	Planni ng Issues	35	26 and 30-31	Change environmental impact analysis to environmental assessment. Double check regarding how the Equestrian Plan will identify and enhance the public outreach and equestrian programs. The EA is focusing on BMPs and site planning but not programming.	
27.	DAH	Relati onship to Other Plans	40	Sutro	Line 7 of the Sutro paragraph- change managed for natural values to scenic values as this is not a natural landscape. What does the next to last sentence mean- sounds like gobblidigook. The primary areas with natural resource values are the steep coastal bluffs, beaches, and some of the riparian areas along the coastal trail. The rest are non-native forest that we do not intend to restore to native vegetation.	
28.	DAH	Relati onship to Other Plans	42-43	State and Regio nal Plans / Count y and Local Plans	Any chance we can add dates to all these plans?	
29.	DAH	NPS Polici es	44	29	Change ‘wildlife’ to ‘wild life’ This is fundamental to the Organic Act!	
30.	DAH	Introd uction	49	3	What dates or years were the newsletters and open houses?	
31.	DAH	Alt Conce pt 2	53	8-9	I don’t understand this bullet.	
32.	DAH	Alt Conce pt 3	54	21, 30, 33	Change ‘each park’s’ to each park site or name Alcatraz, Muir Woods and the rest of Golden Gate.	
33.	DAH	Selecti on of Alt 1	56	8, 26- 27	Site names may be too detailed. Someone needs to see any place named in the document on a map or it needs a good description of its location. What are the key concepts of the Ocean Beach vision?	
34.	DAH	Selecti on of Alt 3	57	16-17	The alternative makes Bldg 64, the Laundry Bldg and the Parade Ground <b>more</b> accessible to visitors. They are all already accessible to visitors.	
35.	DAH	Manag ement Zones	59, 73	29-35	The Evolved Cultural Landscape Zone also includes the natural resources within the zone and cultural landscapes (including numerous endangered species). The Zone description needs to describe how natural resources are considered and treated within the zone. This is actually a global comment that I have discussed with Craig Kenkel. Throughout the entire document there is no mention or discussion of natural resources and their treatment within the ECL zone and cultural landscapes themselves.	
36.	DAH	Table 1 Manag ement Zone Detail s			<p>The detailed descriptions of the management zones needs to be in a side-by-side fold out table in order to compare them and better understand the differences between them.</p> <p>Some changes to NR descriptions were made from the original table (needed to be shortened) but now seem a bit watered down and possibly too much alike across the zones. I think it would be easier for NR staff to review and strengthen these when they are back in table format.</p> <p>Wherever T&amp;E species are mentioned delete reference to ‘designated critical habitat’ as this is a legal definition that does not encompass all of our T&amp;E habitat for which we still have responsibility to preserve and restore. Suggested changing to ‘T&amp;E species and their habitat’</p> <p>The Cultural Resource zone descriptions have gotten more regulatory which is not consistent with the other topics in the table. These references should be removed and the descriptions focused on desired conditions and how resources would be managed.</p> <p>Each of the ‘Cultural Landscapes’ descriptions need to incorporate the important natural resources within them. Suggest consulting with Craig K on this.</p> <p>Interpretive Corridor Zone – add in parentheses (in Muir Woods NM only)</p> <p>Natural Sounds and Dark Night Skies throughout most (or all?) zones- add that the park would actively manage soundscapes and lightscapes to restore more natural conditions to the greatest extent possible/feasible. Looks like this is in there for Dark Skies but not for Soundscapes???</p>	
37.	DAH	Table 1 Manag ement Zone Detail s	90	Line 2	Natural Zone bold description. Change 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence to read: ‘They would be managed to preserve and restore natural resources and processes…’	
38.	DAH	Table 1 Manag ement Zone Detail	91-94	Natura l Zone descri ption	<p>p. 91 Coastal Ecosystems : 1<sup>st</sup> sentence. Change ‘promoted’ to ‘restored’</p> <p>p. 91 Cultural Resources Management: Go back to something closer to the original language in the former Wild Zone: Cultural resources are managed to complement the goals of natural resource preservation and visitor experience. Significant cultural resources will be</p>	

GOGA TEAM DRAFT GMP – PART I						
NO.	INITIAL	SECT.	PAGE	LINE	COMMENT	RESPONSE/ NOTES
		s			<p>preserved unless such preservation clearly diminished natural resource conditions.</p> <p>p. 91 Cultural Landscapes: please do not modify this language.</p> <p>p. 93 Visitor Experience; Types of Activities, last sentence re. special events – either provide some examples or make the description more restrictive. This is really only meant to allow for small events without amplified sound or lighting in previously disturbed areas, and for things like running events on established trails.</p> <p>p. 94 Lightscares – Seems like we should also say in general outdoor lighting would be prohibited except where needed for safety at trailhead facilities. I think the text is generally ok but we would only want it at the fringes where there is some development. I guess people might also have camping lanterns at campgrounds.</p> <p>Skills, Risk, Time Required- change testing of outdoor skills are ‘generally important’ to ‘may be important’ to most visitors accessing this zone</p> <p>Type/Character of Visitor Access – add to the vehicular access paragraph that generally these destinations and access points are on the periphery of the zone</p>	
39.	DAH	Table 1 Management Zone Details	97-98	Sensitive Zone description	<p>The entire Sensitive Zone description should revert to the previous versions that we worked on that were much more restrictive. Otherwise why bother to have this zone. As currently described it does not meet what the original intent was.</p> <p>p. 97 Cultural Resources – same comments as above from p. 91</p> <p>p. 98 Visitor Experience – The current language is not as restrictive as our original zoning that said: ‘Visitors would have the opportunity to experience and understand these natural [and cultural] resources from outside the zone using a variety of interpretive and educational media. Visitor use is generally restricted and regulated.</p> <p>All of the activities in the original zone descriptions were guided, now it sounds much more open to public use for a variety of uses. Previous language example: ‘Recreational activities could include: Beach activities such as guided tours.’ This was meant to convey that most access would be guided, but now guided activities are just part of a longer menu.</p> <p>Use levels were LOW in the previous version, unless permitted for special purposes. Group sizes WOULD be limited, not could be limited. Please revert to previous language.</p> <p>Ditto for Levels of Development, including Types of Facilities. Those described were meant to be outside the zone, not in it, except for trails and what might be needed for resource protection and safety (e.g. fencing, signage).</p>	
40.	DAH	Boundary Adjustments	110	5	Change section header to Nearshore or Ocean Environment, San Mateo County	
41.	DAH	Boundary Adjustments	110	13	The description of the boundary adjustment for the 0.25 mile seaward of mean high tide should specifically include the nearshore rocks/islands already included in the California Coastal National Monument managed by BLM. This includes Devil’s Slide Rock and San Pedro Rock and probably others.	
42.	DAH	Boundary Adjustments	110	26	Change end of sentence to read continuous state lands lease.	
43.	DAH	Boundary Adjustments	112	6-10	I don’t see inland parcels that might be adjacent to Milagra or Sweeney Ridge (or other San Mateo lands) included that would help expand sensitive habitat for T&E species and reduce fragmentation and provide habitat corridors. Not all of the private undeveloped parcels are within our current boundary. I read the present text to only include those along the more immediate coast (west of Hwy 1 ???).	
44.	DAH	Climate Change	114	4	Change the 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence to read: ‘Sustaining and restoring park resources in the face of climate change will require the …’	
45.	DAH	Climate Change	114	14	Does this 2016 goal include visitor CO2 emissions? I think we need to be very clear what is and is not included here. 2016 goal that includes visitors seems highly unlikely.	
46.	DAH	Climate Change	115	17	Add a statement to the effect that the NPS will work with neighboring communities to ensure that protection of park resources is an integral component of their adaptation strategies and that the protection, preservation and restoration of coastal wetlands and coastal processes can serve as a vital tool in buffering coastal communities from the effects of climate change and sea level rise.	
47.	DAH	Climate Change	115	30-37	See page 221 for better description of what we want to achieve for natural resources with respect to adaptive management and building resiliency: Sustain native biodiversity, reconnect fragmented habitats and migration corridors, minimize impact of invasive species, restore naturally functioning ecosystems...	
48.	DAH	Ocean Stewardship	122	2	<p>Julia Brunner and Rebecca Beavers had some general comments on the Ocean Stewardship Strategy that have not yet been considered including: 1) a clear description of the park’s vision/goal for ocean and coastal resources in the park – what do managers want these resources to look like in 20-30 years? 2) What is your target condition for these resources? And 3) What do you mean by ‘protected’ resources (couldn’t find this used in the current text).</p> <p>I will try to have NR staff give this some thought and get back to you. Julia and Rebecca did not have time yet to give any more detailed feedback.</p>	
49.		Ocean	122	14	Change dredge disposal to dredge spoil disposal	

GOGA TEAM DRAFT GMP – PART I						
NO.	INITIAL	SECT.	PAGE	LINE	COMMENT	RESPONSE/ NOTES
	DAH	Stewardship				
50.	DAH	Ocean Stewardship	123	28-29	Change end of sentence to read ‘... in response to changes from impacts associated with climate change.’	
51.	DAH	Ocean Stewardship	124	32	Change ‘within the San Francisco littoral cell’ to processes ‘that affect parks waters and shorelines’. Otherwise define the SF littoral cell.	
52.	DAH	Operational Facilities	127	17	Change the volunteer horse patrol <b>could</b> be relocated to <b>would</b> be relocated. It may or may not end up in Tennessee Valley though.	
53.	DAH	Partnerships	129	24	This section on Commit to Actively Managing Partnerships sounds like it refers to facility-based partnerships. That should be reflected here.	
54.	DAH	Transportation	135	5	Add to end of 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence: ‘... and to achieving the goal of becoming carbon neutral in 2016.’	
55.	DAH	Implementation Plans	140	11	Check with Mike Savidge as to whether there are additional items from the Redwood Creek Watershed Assessment that should be incorporated.	
56.	DAH	Implementation Plans	140	24	I guess it’s ok to call all of the natural resource implementation plans although our division is not the lead on several of them. It would be good to get feedback from NR staff on other needs. Park geologic map is done. Add Ocean Stewardship Action Plan for the park.	
57.	DAH	Implementation Plans	141	17	The geotechnical evaluation should also be a vulnerability assessment of the potential impact of sea level rise on coastal erosion and how it may affect historic structures. Ocean Stewardship Action Plan will also have elements specific to Alcatraz.	
58.	DAH	Alcatraz: No Action	145		Please include a better description of the important natural resource values on Alcatraz. I think Bill provided some text. These are the park’s only seabird/waterbird breeding colonies. They are significant at least on a broad regional level. Many visitors and volunteers visit Alcatraz during breeding season specifically to view these breeding colonies. The coastal cliffs were even called out in the 1980 GMP for their value as roosting habitat for seabirds.	
59.	DAH	Alcatraz: No Action	145	10	Change word ‘attractions’ to ‘features’	
60.	DAH	Alcatraz: No Action	146	33	Change Offshore Marine Environment to Nearshore Estuarine Environment (or Nearshore Bay Waters) since Alcatraz is inside SF Bay. The waters are frequented by marine mammals that haul out on the island and on nearshore rocks, and this is an important seabird feeding area. Outreach efforts by the park and PRBO Conservation Science work to educate bay users about island resources in an effort to reduce wildlife disturbance along the western cliffs.	
61.	DAH	Alcatraz: No Action	147		Natural Resources has 1 FTE funded by Concession Franchise Fee funding for Alcatraz wildlife management. I’m not sure how these fund sources are accounted for.	
62.	DAH	Alcatraz: Alt 1	152	8	There is no public access to the tidepools and never has been and never will be due to safety concerns. You can see them at low tide from the Agave Trail.	
63.	DAH	Alcatraz: Alt 1	153	29	I agree with Bill that 17 LE FTEs for Alcatraz sounds far-fetched.  Please add 3 FTEs for additional natural resource protection, monitoring and management required with additional public access to the Parade Ground, New Industries and operational facilities in the Model Industries Bldg.	
64.	DAH	Alcatraz: Alt 2	160	27	The Park Operations Zone would include the Officer’s Club, Quartermaster Bldg and Power Plant.	
65.	DAH	Alcatraz: Alt 2	160	31	Add Natural Zone to the North End of the Island section. This would include the Model Industries Bldg and Plaza.	
66.	DAH	Alcatraz: Alt 2	161	7	Add Natural Zone to Island Perimeter. This would include the Agave Trail.	
67.	DAH	Alcatraz: Alt 2	162	17	Add 2 Natural Resource FTEs to conduct additional monitoring, establishment of the ‘marine protected area’ and associated education and management, and habitat restoration.  Table 4 should also include costs for deconstructing portions of the Model Industries and other perimeter structures before they fall into the bay.	
68.	DAH	Alcatraz: Alt 3	167	20	Delete reference to “The Rock”	
69.	DAH	Alcatraz: Alt 3	169	8	Add Evolved Cultural Landscape Zone to North End of the Island. The Model Industries Bldg would be in this zone.	
70.	DAH	Alcatraz: Alt 3	170	27	Please add 3 FTEs for additional natural resource protection, monitoring and management required with additional public access to the Parade Ground and New Industries Bldg; an establishment of a marine protected area around portions of the island.	
71.	DAH	Alcatraz: Alt 3	173		Correct map to show Sensitive Zone where it currently is yellow.	
72.	DAH	GOG A: No Action	181	2 <sup>nd</sup> para	Change the word ‘chaparral’ to ‘coastal scrub’. We have very little actual chaparral in the park- most of it is higher up on Mt Tamalpais.	
73.	DAH	GOG A: No Action	181	Stinson Beach para	Last sentence, delete text in parentheses after Bolinas Lagoon; it’s confusing.	

GOGA TEAM DRAFT GMP – PART I						
NO.	INITIAL	SECT.	PAGE	LINE	COMMENT	RESPONSE/ NOTES
74.	DAH	GOG A: No Action	182	1 <sup>st</sup> full para	Add at end of paragraph about Hwy 1 that we also work to protect natural and cultural resources affected by the roadway corridor.	
75.	DAH	GOG A: No Action	182	Lower Redw Crk para.	Change the last 2 sentences to read: Park managers have implemented several projects to restore creek channel function and habitat for endangered coho salmon and steelhead, reconnect the creek to its floodplain, and create new habitat for the federally listed California red-legged frog. I'll defer to Nancy on language about the Banducci residences. They do not volunteer for the park or contribute to restoration in any meaningful ways, etc.	
76.	DAH	GOG A: No Action	182	Muir Beach	The park has completed acquisition of a conservation easement for XXX acres of the Green Gulch Zen Center pasture for creek and floodplain restoration. The park began implementation of restoration actions in September 2009 with expansion of the tidal lagoon, construction of a new frog pond, and widening of the floodplain in the vicinity of the parking lot. The overall project to be completed over several years will restore endangered species habitat, creek and floodplain function, and improve visitor access and support facilities while maintaining the current level of parking.	
77.	DAH	GLOB AL			Please delete all references to swimming in the park except at Stinson Beach, which is the only designated swimming beach in the park. All other references to swimming are misleading.	
78.	DAH	GOG A: No Action	184		Point Bonita Cove – not sure where to include this, with Point Bonita or Nearshore Marine Environment. Please add to No Action that the shoreline in Point Bonita Cove is closed to public access year round, except for approved research, to protect the harbor seal haul-out.	
79.	DAH	GOG A: No Action	187		Thornton State Beach South to Mussel Rock – see Tamara’s comments about Thornton SB. You might add that in the Daly City area, massive active landslides, exacerbated by concentrated urban runoff, threaten adjacent bluff top communities.	
80.	DAH	GOG A: No Action	187		Milagra Ridge – Bay Area Ridge Trail connection – confirm with Nancy if this easement is in the NE or NW. I think we may have 2 easements – but one is definitely in the NW, the other may be in the SE.	
81.	DAH	GOG A: No Action	188		Rancho Corral de Tierra – Change 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence to say ‘relatively’ isolated and undisturbed...	
82.	DAH	GOG A: Alt 1	211		<b>COST ESTIMATES</b> Natural Resources Division estimates the need for an additional 10 FTEs to conduct and manage the following elements of the preferred alternative: additional wildlife management needs with increased visitor access and changing uses, Bolinas Lagoon and tributary restoration, Easkoot Creek riparian corridor restoration and community watershed/flood protection planning at Stinson Beach, restoration of Lower Tennessee Valley wetlands and riparian corridor, marine resource protection and establishment of marine protected area at Point Bonita and Bird Island, restoration of riparian corridor with removal of Capehart Housing, Fort Funston habitat corridor restoration, Devil’s Slide invasive vegetation management and visitor management to prevent wildlife disturbance, Rancho Corral de Tierra riparian corridor restoration, and increased invasive species management parkwide with changing visitor use patterns.	
83.	DAH	GOG A: Alt 1	213		<b>One Time Non-facility Costs</b> Do we have cost estimates for restoration of Lower Tennessee Valley, Capehart riparian restoration, Easkoot Creek riparian restoration, Fort Funston habitat restoration, Rancho riparian and fish habitat restoration, Devil’s Slide invasives management- (check with Nancy – Devil’s Slide uplands may still be a CalTrans responsibility after road closes)? I haven’t checked the spreadsheet.	
84.	DAH	GOG A: Alt 1	208		Rancho Diverse Opportunities Zone, 3 <sup>rd</sup> sentence of 1 <sup>st</sup> para. Please delete ‘vital’ in association with equestrian operations.	
85.	DAH	GOG A: Alt 1	195		Stinson Beach Diverse Opportunities Zone. This zone at Stinson needs to acknowledge climate change and predicted sea level rise impacts. The Visitor Experience elements on p. 116 need to be incorporated here in a slightly more specific manner given the elevation, existing groundwater and flooding issues.	
86.	DAH	GOG A: Alt 1	196		Highway 1 Scenic Corridor. What is the park’s proposed approach in the event of catastrophic road failure in the face of climate change?	
87.	DAH	GOG A: Alt 1	198		Tennessee Valley Diverse Opportunities Zone – last para. I want to highlight that ‘youth programs’ are specifically mentioned as possibly being relocated here. And I want to highlight that the Natural Zone description states that ‘all facilities and structures would be removed and natural processes restored’. Nancy has been hinting that Aim High could remain in their yurt at lower TV. That was Not the intention of the revised alternative.	
88.	DAH	GOG A: Alt 1	200		Capehart Housing Area Add Natural Zone and put description of housing to be removed on north side of Bunker Rd. in this zone, not in Park Operations Zone.	
89.	DAH	GOG A: Alt 1	204		Ocean Beach Natural Zone. Please add protection of threatened species (Western Snowy Plover) in this zone.  In Both Zones: Is the park on-board with managed retreat as our highest priority? Not sure the City and ACOE are. There is also ongoing research experimenting with depositing sand in the nearshore littoral zone to see if it will replenish beach sand and provide additional protection (so far not much success, but new ideas are emerging about new things to try).	
90.	DAH	GOG A: Alt 1	205		Nearshore Marine Areas Scenic Corridor Zone. Can we strengthen this with some of the language from the Ocean Stewardship Strategy? It’s pretty weak as is.	
91.	DAH	GOG A: Alt 1	206		Thornton Beach – need to work with neighboring communities to mitigate concentrated urban runoff and landslide threat.  Milagra Scenic Corridor Zone – nothing on cultural resources here?	
92.	DAH	GOG A: Alt 1	207		Devil’s Slide – this will be a major multi-use trail. Can we confirm that CalTrans will keep the lands above and below the trail corridor that are totally infested with pampas grass (or jubata grass – need to check with Sue) and seriously impact the scenic views?	

GOGA TEAM DRAFT GMP – PART I						
NO.	INITIAL	SECT.	PAGE	LINE	COMMENT	RESPONSE/ NOTES
93.	DAH	GOG A: Alt 1	210		REMINDER: need to access CDFG website to pull maps of newly established marine protected areas so we can zone properly. They have been approved!	
94.	DAH	GOG A Alt 2	221	Stinson	Diverse Opportunities Zone: I think we need to strengthen our response to climate change by at least mentioning planned retreat and reduction and relocation of facilities to other upland areas near Stinson Beach as sea level rises.	
95.	DAH	GOG A Alt 2	227	Ocean Beach	Change ‘seawall’ in the zone headers to refer to the O’Shaughnessey (sp?) seawall as there are 3 different seawalls at Ocean Beach.	
96.	DAH	GOG A Alt 2	227	Ocean Beach	Natural Zone – add language about this area also providing a important naturally functioning buffer for adjacent communities from the effects of climate change and sea level rise. Also see comment 46 above.  In Both Zones – 2 <sup>nd</sup> para. We may want to add ‘through managed retreat and other non-hardened shoreline solutions’ to preserve flexibility.	
97.					Skipping to MUWO. I still have to finish reading Alt 2 and 3.	
98.	DAH	MUW O No Action	267	12	Change ‘international attraction’ to ‘international destination’. No Disneyland here.	
99.	DAH	MUW O No Action	267	15	Delete ‘incredible’ diversity. Not really true.	
100.	DAH	MUW O No Action	267	20	Delete ‘critical’ from habitat for T&E	
101.	DAH	MUW O No Action	267	23	Change ‘equestrian center’ to horse or equestrian stables. Center implies something much more extensive than it is.	
102.	DAH	MUW O No Action	268	14	Note that fire is slowly being introduced through the use of prescribed fire to restore more natural conditions and reduce fuel loading...	
103.	DAH	MUW O No Action	268	17	Last sentence – change to ‘These actions <b>may</b> have protected selected redwood trees on the banks, but eliminated the natural meandering of the creek...’	
104.	DAH	MUW O No Action	268	21-32	Make sure all sites named in the text are marked on the map or change the text. Also consider noting that large landslides associated with Camino del Canon Road (is this the proper name?) are a significant source of sedimentation into Redwood Creek.	
105.	DAH	MUW O No Action	270	Table 14	Non-facility Costs. I’m not sure what we would be doing in the Muir Woods Addition Area in the No Action alternative. We have tiny bits of funding to revegetate just within the footprint of buildings that are removed. I wouldn’t call that restoration as there are other serious problems. I don’t think the entrance area improvements planned under the No Action amount to \$1million for natural resource restoration but maybe I’m missing how much parking we would be removing in the no action.	
106.	DAH	MUW O Alt 1	273	8	Suggest changing this sentence to read ‘...while simultaneously minimizing the overall footprint of development within the old growth forest.’	
107.	DAH	MUW O Alt 1	273	27	What does having restrooms at Bridge 4 imply about access and maintenance requirements? Does that change current practices?	
108.	DAH	MUW O Alt 1	274	14	Include downgrading Camino del Canon road to a trail.	
109.	DAH	MUW O Alt 1	275	Table 15	3 additional NR staff would be required under Alt 1 for increased needs associated with wildlife management, invasive vegetation removal and watershed restoration in the Camino del Canon area.	
110.	DAH	MUW O Alt 1	277	Map of Alt 1	Is Camp Hillwood sited in the right location on the map? Having the existing facilities in the inset but not showing how that would change in the alternatives is confusing. Could those that would or might be removed be shaded or something?	
111.	DAH	MUW O Alt 2	279	25	Add to the description of restoration actions the removal of streambank stabilization structures in Redwood Creek, removal and possible relocation of some pedestrian bridges, and restoration of natural floodplain function...	
112.	DAH	MUW O Alt 2	281	32	I question the number of increased LE FTEs as there would be fewer visitors and virtually no cars!	
113.	DAH	MUW O Alt 2	281	34	Add at least one maintenance FTE (so subtract less) to conduct watershed restoration work including demolition/deconstruction of buildings.	
114.	DAH	MUW O Alt 2	281	36	5 additional natural resource staff would be required to serve as the watershed restoration team managing watershed restoration, invasive vegetation removal, T&E species monitoring and habitat restoration, etc.	
115.	DAH	MUW O Alt 3	289	15	Change ‘the segment of Conlon Avenue extending from the lift station to the camp would be <b>downgraded and realigned</b> to restore natural processes...’	
116.	DAH	MUW O Alt 3	290	8	An additional 4 NR staff would be needed for watershed restoration, invasive vegetation removal, T&E species monitoring and habitat restoration, etc. One less position would be needed because there would be less restoration of the floodplain and stream channel within Muir Woods itself. 1 additional maintenance FTE could also assist with watershed restoration.	
117.	DAH	MUW O Alt 3	291	Table 17	Add \$2.5 million for watershed restoration in MUWO addition – removal of non-historic structures, removal/conversion of Camino del Canon road and road to Camp Hillwood to trails. This is slightly less than Alt 2 because Hillwood School remains.	
118.	DAH	GOG A Alt 2	229	Sweeney	Natural Zone – last sentence. What does ‘enhanced’ mean for SF Bay Discovery Site?	
119.	DAH	GOG A Alt 2	229	Devil’s Slide	Sensitive Resource Zone. Add at end of 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence ‘... seabirds that are sensitive to human disturbance.’	
120.	DAH	GOG A Alt	231	Marine	Change Natural Zone to Scenic Corridor. Add the portion of the Pillar Point State Marine Conservation Area that is within the GOGA boundary in the text for	

GOGA TEAM DRAFT GMP – PART I						
NO.	INITIAL	SECT.	PAGE	LINE	COMMENT	RESPONSE/ NOTES
		2			the Scenic Corridor.  Add Sensitive Zone for Egg Rock (Devil’s Slide) Special Closure area 300’ west of Egg Rock and 1000’ east of Egg Rock. Also add to Sensitive Zone the Montara State Marine Reserve portion within GOGA boundary. These also need to be added/corrected on the map.	
121.	DAH	GOG A Alt 2	232	NR	Alt 2 would require approx 10 additional NR FTEs for increased T&E and other habitat restoration including riparian restoration at Corral de Tierra, Tenn Valley, Easkoot Creek, marine protection and monitoring, conversion of roads to trails, etc.	
122.	DAH	GOG A Alt 2	233	Table 10	Are there restoration costs for GOGA at Stinson Beach, Tennessee Valley, Rodeo Valley, Fort Funston, Corral de Tierra? Costs in the tables I have look incomplete. A daunting task but many many millions of \$\$\$ for alt 2.	
123.	DAH	GOG A Alt 2	236	Alt 2 maps SF and San Mateo	Change Natural Zone to Scenic Corridor except as described on # 120 above for Sensitive Zone. 2 maps need corrections to marine environment.	
124.	DAH	GOG A Alt 3	244	14	What kind of overnight accommodations are we talking about at Kirby Cove?	
125.	DAH	GOG A Alt 3	245	23	What kind of facilities are we talking about at the Great Meadow?	
126.	DAH	GOG A Alt 3	246	21 and 24	Change to O’Shaughnessey Seawall	
127.	DAH	GOG A Alt 3	246 and 255	28 and map on 255	The zoning on the map is inaccurate. I should work with Craig to make this more realistic. Maybe we need an inset map for FOFU? There will be a lot of interest in how it’s mapped.	
128.	DAH	GOG A Alt 3	246	32	Alt 3 still has a Park Operations Zone at Fort Funston. We did not say they would be relocated elsewhere in the park. Please add Park Ops Zone to the text.	
129.	DAH	GOG A Alt 3	255		Zoning map of Fort Funston. Both the Natural and Diverse Opportunities zones need to be re-mapped to more accurately reflect existing and desired conditions. I can work with Craig on this. Park Ops zone would be retained.	
130.	DAH	GOG A Alt 3	247	6	Change to Scenic Corridor zone.	
131.	DAH	GOG A Alt 3	249	18	Change to Scenic Corridor zone. Add Sensitive Zone as described in #120 above.	
132.	DAH	GOG A Alt 3	250	11	Need approx. 10 FTEs for NR restoration, wildlife management, etc. Same as Alt 1. See #82-83 above.	
133.	DAH	GOG A Alt 3	254-255	Maps	Change Natural Zone to Scenic Corridor. Add / correct Sensitive Zone per #120 above. I have provided maps to Craig.	
134.	DAH	User Capacity	303	27-34	Explain why there are no indicators and standards for the rest of GOGA.	
135.	DAH	User Capacity	318	Row 3	What is Lnat?	
136.	DAH	User Capacity	318		Consider changing the order of the indicators. The table seems to jump between social and ecological indicators which is confusing.	
137.	DAH	User Capacity	320	Row 1	Since the Sensitive Zone in MUWO will not really be open to people on a regular basis, why would we have an indicator for ‘people within a person’s view’? Suggest deleting this.	
138.	DAH	Mitigations	323	7	This sentence is confusing.	
139.	DAH	Mitigations	326	18-22	Shouldn’t air quality include carbon emissions, ozone and other air pollutants? Visitor transportation, construction equipment, public transit/shuttles?	
140.	DAH	Mitigations	326	38	Change the word ‘exotic’ to ‘non-native’ throughout this sentence.	
141.	DAH	Mitigations	327	7	I disagree that Alt 1 (b) (5) .	
142.	DAH	Mitigations	327	19	Change ‘revegetated with native plants’ to ‘appropriately revegetated’ depending on site location, zoning, use of the area, etc.	
143.	DAH	Mitigations	327	20	Should this apply only to new trails, or all trails, or all new and rehabilitated trails? The first BMP would be good site design, followed by BMPs for actual construction.	
144.	DAH	Mitigations	327	27	Better define objectionable noise and how this would be accomplished.	
145.	DAH	Mitigations	327	38	I don’t understand ‘long-term, immediate impacts’	
146.	DAH	Mitigations	328	1-25	Please add ‘and their habitat’ after all references to T&E species.	
147.	DAH	Mitigations	328	14	Delete this bullet. (b) (5) ; we have impacts all the time.. Or change it to say that the park would initiate formal consultation with FWS or NOAA under Section 7, however there is nothing in the ESA that only applies to breeding or nesting areas.	
148.	DAH	Mitigations	328	34	(b) (5) to avoid impacts to sensitive species. We would avoid or minimize impacts to the extent feasible and mitigate for impacts in a variety of ways.	
149.	DAH	Mitigations	328	37	Check with Sue but I believe we would salvage vegetation where and when it is appropriate. Some species do not do well with salvage and this would be inappropriate and unsuccessful.	
150.	DAH	Mitigations	328	39	Generally we try to utilize plant material from within the same watershed or as nearby as possible. Region is way to broad.	

GOGA TEAM DRAFT GMP – PART I

NO.	INITIAL	SECT.	PAGE	LINE	COMMENT	RESPONSE/ NOTES
151.	DAH	Mitiga tions	328	43	Suggest changing immediately to within a reasonable time. There are some factors that may include immediate restoration like money, wrong time of year, etc.	
152.	DAH	Mitiga tions	329	26	Add that wetlands would be avoided to the greatest extent feasible and that impacts to wetlands would be mitigated to compensate for any losses.	
153.	DAH	Mitiga tions	329	33-35	Except for Alt 1 on Alcatraz	
154.	DAH	Mitiga tions	329	40	Add wildlife proof dumpsters in park operations and park partner areas and at construction sites where park staff determine this is an issue.	
155.	DAH	Appen dix C	A-31		<p>The title NPS Policies makes it sound like these desired conditions and strategies are from NPS Management Policies. They don’t. Where does this section come from? I’ve never seen it. Sounds like it was written for some other park? A lot of good stuff but I’d like to have the NR staff more closely review and possibly re-work the Ecosystem Management, Natural Resources, Air Quality, Water Quality and Quantity, Wildlife Management, Night Sky, Natural Sounds and Research sections. There are out of place species, a lot of overlap between Ecosystem Management and NR, almost nothing on oceans or climate change. There are strategies to monitor things we don’t monitor (other people do). Do you really want to say we’re going to do the resource protection side of VERP? Has not been easy to do in most places.</p> <p>I like the Cultural Landscapes section here because it does acknowledge natural resources.</p>	
156.						
157.						
158.						
159.						
160.						
161.						
162.						
163.						
164.						
165.						
166.						
167.						
168.						
169.						