

From: [William Merkle](#)
To: [Hoke, Amy](#)
Cc: [Keith Stegall](#)
Subject: Re: Rodeo fencing
Date: Friday, March 29, 2013 12:07:26 PM

The goal is to demarcate the closure of the edge of the lagoon extending to encompass much of the dunes. The closure is primarily to protect birds that forage and roost on the lagoon edge, but also to keep people and dogs out of the water.

The concern with the post and cable and dog mesh is that we do get some wave over wash with storms and that fence would catch a lot of debris. We're also a little concerned with shifting sands, but the area does not seem to be that dynamic.

Also (b) (5)

Though this would be at the cost of reduced compliance with the closure.

-Bill

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 29, 2013, at 8:59 AM, "Hoke, Amy" <amy_hoke@nps.gov> wrote:

Hey there,

Would the Crissy Field model (post, 2 cables and wire mesh infill) work here? I guess it comes down to a matter of priorities, and I'm not sure how those rank. Dog control? People control? Fence maintenance? Let me know how I can help.

Thanks,
Amy

Amy Hoke
Historical Landscape Architect
Golden Gate National Recreation Area
415.561.4753

On Thu, Mar 28, 2013 at 3:40 PM, Merkle, William <bill_merkle@nps.gov> wrote:

Hi,

Daphne was concerned about the Buck and Post. We are looking at the eyelet and cable, with an extra eyelet so that you can run two cables. Michael Chasse is investigating this.

-Bill

Bill Merkle, Ph.D.
Wildlife Ecologist
Golden Gate National Recreation Area
415-289-1843

On Wed, Mar 27, 2013 at 4:14 PM, Hoke, Amy <amy_hoke@nps.gov> wrote:
Hi Bill (and Keith),

Sure - I'm up for a discussion.

Should we meet and talk or do you want to try to hash this out over email?
Either works for me. Or you could go ahead and put it into PEPC and open it up for a broader discussion.

From my perspective, introducing a ranch-style fence in the view shed of Fort Cronkhite cantonment (which the new GMP calls out for its historical significance) is a bit jarring, but others may feel the proposal is less of an impact.

I certainly see the logic of a movable, rot-resistant fence and I wonder if the metal eyelet style doesn't solve that same issue? Maybe there's a modification that could make it a bit less penetrable...

At any rate, happy to discuss further on site, if you'd like.

Thanks,
Amy

Amy Hoke
Historical Landscape Architect
Golden Gate National Recreation Area
415.561.4753

On Wed, Mar 27, 2013 at 10:54 AM, Merkle, William
<bill_merkle@nps.gov> wrote:

Hi Amy,

I was discussing Rodeo Lagoon fencing further with Keith. We agree that the Buck and Post style fence will better meet our objectives of keeping people and some of the dogs out of this area. And that this fence won't really be that prominent on the landscape--nearly the same as split rail or post and cable.

The Buck and Post would not rot as quickly as posts are not set in the sand--and would be easier to shift as sands shift than the other styles of fence.

The eyelet and cable just doesn't seem like it is going to provide enough deterrence for people wanting to go beyond--that is much more of a symbolic barrier.

I'd would like to discuss this more with you.

-Bill

Bill Merkle, Ph.D.
Wildlife Ecologist
Golden Gate National Recreation Area
415-289-1843