

From: [Aviles, Brian](#)
To: [Frank Dean](#); [Howard Levitt](#); [Nancy Hornor](#); [Alex Picavet](#)
Subject: Fwd: EPA clarification Re: Revised draft ROD for GOGA GMP
Date: Tuesday, July 22, 2014 1:05:16 PM

Frank -- Chris may want to know that EPA gave our Draft GMP a 10 out of 10 score. She may know what that means more than I do. But it's a good thing!

B

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Hornor, Nancy** <nancy_hornor@nps.gov>
Date: Tuesday, July 22, 2014
Subject: EPA clarification Re: Revised draft ROD for GOGA GMP
To: "Aviles, Brian" <brian_aviles@nps.gov>
Cc: "Schmierer, Alan" <alan_schmierer@nps.gov>, Steve Ortega <Steve_Ortega@nps.gov>, Tom Gibney <Tom_Gibney@nps.gov>

Thanks Alan - we appreciate this good advice!

Brian - should we add that to the talking points for Chris L?

On Tue, Jul 22, 2014 at 8:41 AM, Aviles, Brian <brian_aviles@nps.gov> wrote:

I'm glad to add a sentence about an EPA rating. Didn't know we received such a good score. Nice to get a pat on the back.

Since Im not familiar with the system could you draft the sentence for us?

Thanks,

Brian

On Tuesday, July 22, 2014, Schmierer, Alan <alan_schmierer@nps.gov> wrote:

hi again - some though maybe not every park stakeholder may recognize that being accorded the best possible rating by EPA of the Draft EIS means its a solid document; certainly merits documentation in the Record. as for sequence, it would seem logical to fit into the summary of the public and agency responses to release off he DEIS, alan

Alan Schmierer PWR REC (415) 623-2315 ~ Th is year on Sept 3rd we celebrate the 50th anniversaries of both the *Wilderness Act of 1964* as well as the establishment of the *Land and Water Conservation Fund*. Check out the [50th Anniversary Website](#) for Oct 15-19 National Wilderness Conference program updates as well as other events , and the [Toolbox](#) for event planning ideas and resources

2014 also brings the 150th anniversary of California State Parks (read more at <http://www.150.parks.ca.gov/>) and the Yosemite Grant.

On Sun, Jul 20, 2014 at 4:20 PM, Aviles, Brian <brian_aviles@nps.gov> wrote:

Alan,

We are moving ahead with the ROD and I've incorporated most of your recommendations. I have a question about your comment:

"In characterizing the response to the DEIS, suggest mentioning EPA's 12\5\11 rating of "LO" (being their most favorable possible rating)."

Where in the document does this apply?

Thanks.

Brian

Brian A. Aviles

Senior Planner. National Park Service
GGNRA - Fort Mason, San Francisco, CA 94123
415.561.4942 office, .4939 fax
415.624.9685 mobile

On Thu, Jul 3, 2014 at 9:26 AM, Schmierer, Alan <alan_schmierer@nps.gov> wrote:
howdy Brian, hope some of this is helpful to your efforts to expediently move forward...

SOF for Floodplains - not included in what you sent. The text should be updated from what was presented to the public in the FEIS (1) refer to the Selected Action (not preferred alt), (2) update with any updated project details which have been incorporated per the ROD.

The signature sequence is chronological in time:

Supt recommend
WRD concurs
PWR Safety concurs
RD approves

DNI CR Topics - The role of the PA and proactive consultations with SHPO (and perhaps ACHP?) as a means of ameliorating adverse effects should be discussed. I would urge you to confer with David Louter ASAP about this delicate consideration, he has advised about this on YOSE and other decisions recently.

ROD p.21 DEIS - clarify that 9\9\11 is the FR date for US EPA's announcement of the release of the DEIS (the NPS's NOA was published in the FR on 9\12\11).

In characterizing the response to the DEIS, suggest mentioning EPA's 12\5\11 rating of "LO" (being their most favorable possible rating).

FEIS - it was EPA's notice that printed 4\25\14 whereas our NOA printed 4\30\14.

p.22 looks like Frank liked the mark-up i gave him during our mtg, glad that was helpful. A couple of additional thoughts:

¶1 first sentence - in order to further emphasize the no-action period is not a

comment period, perhaps insert words as follows: "Following release of the FEIS\GMP for public inspection, the park...". And since various entities, not just individual public persons, are accounted for perhaps delete "from the public" at the end of the sentence (although this becomes clear from the text which follows).

¶2 first sentence - maybe delete "non-substantive" and replace with "out of scope" (or perhaps use both terms).

fourth sentence - perhaps end the sentence with a footnote, and insert "links" to the website for each of these initiatives.

p.23 Ft. Funston dog clarifications - Since late 2011 i've been working on iterations of an EA for Ft Funston Site Improvements with Steve Ortega; as i recall there is some "dog plan disclaimer" language in that working document. Suggest a cross-check for consistency, especially if anything has been communicated during public scoping or presentation of preliminary alts. (Not suggesting to mention this pending EA in the ROD however)

p.26 PA - insert date of execution or\and insert "see attachment" message.

p.27 add a succinct Conclusion, see ROD for F-Line Extension for an example.

Hope you have a safe and fun holiday weekend, cheers, alan

Alan Schmierer PWR REC (415) 623-2315 ~ Th is year on Sept 3rd we celebrate the 50th anniversaries of both the *Wilderness Act of 1964* as well as the establishment of the *Land and Water Conservation Fund*. Check out the [50th Anniversary Website](#) for Oct 15-19 National Wilderness Conference program updates as well as other events , and the [Toolbox](#) for event planning ideas and resources
2014 also brings the 150th anniversary of California State Parks (read more at <http://www.150.parks.ca.gov/>) and the Yosemite Grant.

On Tue, Jul 1, 2014 at 3:35 PM, Aviles, Brian <brian_aviles@nps.gov> wrote:
Colleagues,

This revised document includes suggestions made in discussion with Chris Lehnertz last Friday. It adds a section about implementation to page 2, explains changes made to the draft plan in response to comments (page 22), and amplifies the clarification about the plan's relationship to the dog management plan on pages 23-24.

Please send comments as soon as possible, preferably by Monday, July 7, so we can take the next steps towards signature.

Cal if you see something grievous! Thanks.

Brian

Brian A. Aviles
Senior Planner. National Park Service
GGNRA - Fort Mason, San Francisco, CA 94123
415.561.4942 office, .4939 fax
415.624.9685 mobile

|

--

Sent from Gmail Mobile

--

Nancy Hornor
Planning Division Chief
Golden Gate National Recreation Area
Building 201 Fort Mason
San Francisco, CA 94123
(415) 561-4937

--

Sent from Gmail Mobile