

**From:** [Frank Dean](#)  
**To:** [Howard Levitt](#); [Nancy Hornor](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Your letter  
**Date:** Saturday, November 23, 2013 9:03:01 PM

---

FYI

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Amy Meyer <[a7w2m@earthlink.net](mailto:a7w2m@earthlink.net)>  
**Date:** November 23, 2013 3:31:37 PM PST  
**To:** Francis Dean <[frank\\_dean@nps.gov](mailto:frank_dean@nps.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: Your letter

Dear Frank,  
Thanks for this update.

Have you talked with John since their board meeting? He seemed to think we have a level playing field now. The newspapers have been as good as we could hope for.

Will need to know what you want re: the Sports Basement EA. Just sent out agenda for PFP/P meeting on December 6. Could easily take off GGNRA GMP update and replace it with an item relating to the EA.

Await your phone call next week,  
Amy

On Nov 23, 2013, at 3:05 PM, Francis Dean wrote:

Hi Amy,

Thanks for monitoring this issue. I am briefing DC on this early Tuesday and will know more about how best to respond after that. I will call you then.

Yes, some good developments this week, but am suspicious. At least we have some more time, which is partly why I haven't sent our letter yet.

The other concern we have is Sports Basement move EA. Totally inadequate analysis of traffic impacts and other issues. We will be weighing in strongly on that this week.

Talk soon,

Frank

Frank Dean  
General Superintendent  
Golden Gate  
National Recreation Area  
San Francisco, CA 94123  
(415) 561-4720

---

**From:** Amy Meyer [mailto:[a7w2m@earthlink.net](mailto:a7w2m@earthlink.net)]  
**Sent:** Friday, November 22, 2013 04:59 PM  
**To:** Francis Dean <[Frank\\_Dean@nps.gov](mailto:Frank_Dean@nps.gov)>  
**Subject:** Your letter

Dear Frank,

Some weeks back you said you would be writing another letter to the Presidio Trust.

Earlier this week when he was in SF, John Reynolds said you'd shown him your letter and it was good.

Have you sent your letter? Can you share it? Or has it been run over by the recent communications from the Trust?

I am happy that the information we have all been waiting for is now out and the focus is clear on what our small core of activists must do with the Conservancy if their project is to be given first position in the next round. To that end, I sent a note to them today:

I think it is all-important to concentrate on the Conservancy's program. The Trust said nice things about both of the other programs. Of the PX they said:

**The Conservancy's approach to programming at the PX - intended to be varied, flexible and relevant over time to park audiences - is fascinating. Yet, these attributes also make it harder to understand what the PX is truly striving for programmatically. What is the master narrative? Is there an overall theme, or focus, that can be better articulated so that the Trust will understand how PX programming will differ from/complement existing or planned programs, such as the Presidio Visitor Center, Heritage Center, and Crissy Field Center?**

The key term is **MASTER NARRATIVE**. All of our efforts should be directed to helping them achieve that goal. Without that, the PX is DOA.

If you have any suggestions or clues that would be helpful, please get in touch with me before we have a meeting with them next Tuesday afternoon. I do not attribute sources of information/suggestions unless told it is ok.

Best regards,  
Amy