

From: (b)(6)
To: Frank_Dean@nps.gov; aaron_roth@nps.gov; HHoward_levitt@nps.gov
Cc: shirwin_smith@nps.gov
Subject: Fwd: Rationale for opposing commercial dog walking
Date: Thursday, January 31, 2013 8:32:54 PM
Attachments: [CDW Summary.doc](#)
[Presidio Commercial Dog Walking Proposal.pdf](#)
[Project Screening Form.pdf](#)
[NPS Management Policies 2006.pdf](#)

This was forwarded to my personal email. Haven't reviewed the attachments yet, but didn't want to wait to forward to all of you. Assuming it will be of interest to us.

Shirwin

Begin forwarded message:

From: Matthew Zlatunich <(b)(6)>
Date: January 25, 2013 1:02:06 PM PST
To: Ruth Gravanis <(b)(6)>, David Anderson <(b)(6)>, Blum <(b)(6)>, Bill Shepard <(b)(6)>, Ray Holland <president@sfpar.org>
Cc: Brent Plater <bplater@wildequity.org>, Becky Evans <(b)(6)>, Peter Brastow <pcb123@natureinthecity.org>, Steven Krefting <(b)(6)>, Mary Anne Miller Miller <(b)(6)>, Sharon Tsiu <sharon.tsiu@sierraclub.org>
Subject: Rationale for opposing commercial dog walking
Reply-To: (b)(6)

Hi All,

Attached is a summary of the rationale for opposition to CDW in the Presidio. Please feel free to contact me for further details on any point. Please feel free to share this information.

Matthew Zlatunich
(b)(6)
San Francisco 94118
(b)(6)

--- On Thu, 1/24/13, Blum <(b)(6)> wrote:

From: Blum <(b)(6)>
Subject: Fwd: Commercial Dog Walking Meeting

To: "Matt Zlatunich" <(b)(6)>, "Ruth Gravanis"
<(b)(6)>, "David Anderson"
<(b)(6)>
Cc: "Brent Plater" <bplater@wildequity.org>, "Becky Evans"
<(b)(6)>, "Peter Brastow" <pcb123@natureinthecity.org>,
"Steven Krefting" <(b)(6)>, "Mary Anne Miller Miller" <(b)(6)>,
<(b)(6)>, "Sharon Tsiu" <sharon.tsiu@sierraclub.org>
Date: Thursday, January 24, 2013, 1:05 PM

PAR wants our reasoning on CDW prior to Feb 4 (see message fr Ray Holland, below), and I believe NAPP wants the same before the 6th so they can re-present to their Boards. Matt can you share the Audubon letter with them?? Or can you bullet point summarize the contents for me and I can forward to each????

Please advise soonest as this is an opportunity we do not want to miss. All other ideas welcomed and encouraged!!

Jan

Please pardon my typos. Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: (b)(6)
Date: January 24, 2013, 12:40:52 PM PST
To: (b)(6)
Subject: Re: Commercial Dog Walking Meeting

Jan:

Thanks for letting us know that, as a result of your meetings with the Trust's leadership, the period for public review and comment on its commercial dog walking public use limit has been extended for another thirty days.

As you may know, the position on that issue adopted by PAR's Board of Directors on January 7th (when the deadline for public comments was still January 25th) has already been conveyed to the Trust along with its reasoning. A copy is attached to this message.

In your message to Karen Cook, you asked that the Trust "postpone establishing any new regulations prior to the Park Service completing their Federal due diligence processes" but without providing any reasoning.

Since that means there would still be no regulation of either commercial or recreational dog walking on the Presidio and other portions of the GGNRA in San Francisco until at least April of 2014, would you please provide me with the reasoning for your request so I can share it with PAR's Board of Directors before its next regular meeting on February 4th (the last before the new deadline date of February 25th) in case it wants to reconsider the position it adopted on the 7th of this month?

Thanks.

Ray

In a message dated 1/22/2013 3:34:35 P.M. Pacific Standard Time, (b)(6) writes:

Please note the comment period re Commercial Dog Walking on the Presidio has been extended to Feb 25th. Please forward to interested parties.

Jan

Please pardon my typos. Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Cook, Karen"
<KCook@presidiotrust.gov>
Date: January 22, 2013, 2:28:56 PM PST
To: jan blum <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Middleton, Craig"
<CMiddleton@presidiotrust.gov>
Subject: RE: Commercial Dog Walking Meeting

Jan--You are very welcome. This is also to let you know that we will extend the comment period for the proposed regulation to February 25th as requested. We have already posted notice of the extension on our website: <http://www.presidio.gov/about/Pages/commercial-dog-walking.aspx> and it will also appear in the Federal Register, although, because of their required lead time, not until next week after the expiration of the original comment period. I would appreciate your passing that information along to Ruth, Matt and Ralph and anyone else with whom you are in contact who is interested in this issue.

-----Original Message-----

From: jan blum [[\(b\)\(6\)](mailto:(b)(6))]
Sent: Friday, January 18, 2013 9:26 PM
To: Middleton, Craig; Cook, Karen
Subject: Commercial Dog Walking Meeting

Dear Craig and Karen:

You were very generous with your time this past week when Matt, David, Ruth and I were in your lovely, restored Barracks to discuss the Commercial Dog Walking issue. We all appreciated your reception to our ideas and your

close attention to our suggestions.

It is our hope that the Presidio Trust will postpone establishing any new regulations prior to the Park Service completing their Federal due diligence processes.

Sincerely,

Jan Blum

=

<Comm. Dog Walking on Presidio.pdf>