

From: [Frank Dean](#)
To: [Hornor, Nancy](#)
Cc: [Aviles, Brian](#); [Howard Levitt](#); [Michael J Savidge](#); [Barbara Goodyear](#)
Subject: Re: GGNRA Planning - response to your email to Supt Dean and RD Lehnertz
Date: Tuesday, October 28, 2014 6:13:40 PM

Yes, but less is more. We don't have a timeline.

Frank Dean
General Superintendent
Golden Gate National Recreation Area
201 Fort Mason
San Francisco, CA 94123
(415) 561-4720

On Oct 28, 2014, at 2:12 PM, "Hornor, Nancy" <nancy_hornor@nps.gov> wrote:

Attorney-Client

Can we discuss this with the Solicitor before we respond? I think we need to get their ROD review, and any additional legal advice or changes/additions to the ROD.

On Tue, Oct 28, 2014 at 1:48 PM, Aviles, Brian <brian_aviles@nps.gov> wrote:
FYI.

Brian A. Aviles

Senior Planner & Landscape Architect
National Park Service - Golden Gate National Recreation Area
Building 201, Fort Mason
San Francisco, CA 94123
(415) 561-4942 office, -4939 fax
(415) 624-9685 mobile

----- Forwarded message -----

From: <(b)(6)>
Date: Tue, Oct 28, 2014 at 1:04 PM
Subject: Re: GGNRA Planning - response to your email to Supt Dean and RD Lehnertz
To: brian_aviles@nps.gov
Cc: (b)(6)

hi brian,

thank you for your email.

your answer is still obtuse about the status of the gmp/rod.

the gmp is a hugely important land use document for the ggnra. will the ggnra be issuing a supplemental eis since there is so much concern about the draft final proposed gmp?

will the ggnra take the time to correctly reach out to the public with workshops and community meetings in all three counties? something that has not taken place to date? as i mentioned before, the 1980 gmp was the direct result of many meetings/charrettes/workshops with interested members of the public and is reflected in that document. this is not true with the draft final proposed gmp.

there is a lot of confusion about the zones, about improper action being taken now with regard with the cdws, etc. and tons of people still haven't a clue about the gmp.

i think that a direct answer is in order; otherwise as a member of the public, it makes me believe that no one is paying attention or someone is hiding something.

thank you, martha

-----Original Message-----

From: Aviles, Brian <brian_aviles@nps.gov>

To: rosewalt <(b)(6)>

Sent: Tue, Oct 28, 2014 12:39 pm

Subject: GGNRA Planning - response to your email to Supt Dean and RD Lehnertz

Hi Martha,

I am getting back to you in response to your email to Chris Lehnertz and Frank Dean regarding status of the GMP and your video.

We have seen the video link you sent, thank you. Regarding the status of the GMP, we do not yet have a timeline for completion of the Record of Decision.

The Dog Management Plan and Rancho Corral de Tierra planning will remain separate and distinct from, yet aligned with the GMP. More detailed planning for Rancho, including long term planning for trails, may begin in late 2015. The schedule for that has not been determined, but it will include a strong public involvement component and we look forward to engaging all interested and affected individuals and organizations in that process.

I hope all is well with you,

Brian

Brian A. Aviles

Senior Planner & Landscape Architect

National Park Service - Golden Gate National Recreation Area

Building 201, Fort Mason

San Francisco, CA 94123

(415) 561-4942 office, -4939 fax

(415) 624-9685 mobile

--

Nancy Hornor
Planning Division Chief
Golden Gate National Recreation Area
Building 201 Fort Mason
San Francisco, CA 94123
(415) 561-4937